WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		Ebe	elin Saenz	Case Number:	09-6003-001
	ordance stablishe		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendance pending trial in this case.				
\boxtimes		•	erance of the evidence the defende	nt is a flight risk and require	the detention of the defendant pending trial in
	this ca	ase.	PART	FINDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committe	d
			an offense for which a maximur 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U	n term of imprisonment of te .S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§	924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).	
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § imprisonment of ten years or me	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin ore is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of
			an offense involving a minor vic	im prescribed in	.1
	(2)	The d	lefendant has not rebutted the pricions will reasonably assure the ap	esumption established by to pearance of the defendant a	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required.
			Al	ternative Findings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ass the appearance of the defendant as required.			mbination of conditions will reasonably assure
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimida a prospective witness or juror).			
	(4)				
				TEMENT OF REASONS FO cone or both, as applicable.)	OR DETENTION
	(1)	as to	hat the credible testimony and infordanger that: Court finds the presumption rebutte		ring establish by clear and convincing evidence

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-mj-06003-DKD Document 8 Filed 01/16/09 Page 2 of 2

\boxtimes	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	×	The defendant has insufficient contacts in the District of Arizona.				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	×	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum oflife				
	The de	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
	Defendather relocate of Defendant but the properties of the proper	In addition: Defendant's ties to the community and the United States are weak. She has recently sold her business interests here, I father resides in the Republic of Mexico, her bank accounts are in the Republic of Mexico and she has contemplar relocating to that country to reside with her father. Moreover, the agent testified at the detention hearing that a family meml of Defendant stated that Defendant's boyfriend is presently residing in Mexico as well. To her credit, a number of perso and business associates submitted letters on her behalf. Nevertheless, the absence of a stable residence and employment outpled with the factors set forth above militate in favor of detention as a flight risk.				

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 16th day of January, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge